



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,821	08/30/2000	John Laurence Melanson		2274
7	590 08/18/2003			
Winstead Sechrest & Minick			EXAMINER	
P O Box 50784 1201 EIM			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M	
Dallas, TX 75	270		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			2683	C/
;			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			(M)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9			
	09/651,821	MELANSON, JOH	N LAURENCE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>	ugust 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8 and 26</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8 and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See new rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

<u>Claims 1, 2, 3 and 6-8 and 26</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuhiro JP-11332230 and further in view of <u>Grant EP1130770A2</u> and Colotti US 5,537,305 (hereafter Kazuhiro, Grant and Colotti).

As per **claim 1**, Kazuhiro teaches a system comprising:

A switching power supply used in a radio receiver (last sentence of abstract teaches a receiver)

Switched mode circuitry operating at a selected switching frequency (abstract teaches a VCO Crystal Oscillator that is used to determine the frequency of a switching power supply).

Circuitry for setting said switching frequency of said switched mode circuitry as a function of a frequency of a signal being received by said radio receiver (abstract teaches a CPU/controller that controls oscillating frequency of the crystal oscillator circuit in such a way that the harmonic wave frequency of the switching frequency does not become identical with the receiving frequency)

But is silent on an AM radio receiver, said switched mode circuitry comprising audio amplifier for driving an audio channel and circuitry setting said switching

Art Unit: 2683

<u>frequency (of said switched mode circuitry) to a selected one of a set of frequency steps</u>
<u>as a function of the AM signal received by said receiver.</u>

Grant teaches a low power audio device (radio receiver and Class D amplifier).

Colotti teaches an improved switching power supply with a variable frequency switching circuit (abstract) [for use in PWM-type converter] whereby the chopping frequency is chosen to avoid interference with the sensitive equipment (C1, L54 to C2, L5).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kazuhiro, such that it is used in an AM radio receiver and selects a set of frequency steps as a function of the AM signal, to provide means for the system to be used to avoid interference in an AM receiver.

As per claim 2, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 wherein said switched mode circuitry comprises a switching power supply (title).

As per claim 3, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein said switched mode circuitry comprises a Class D amplifier.

The examiner puts forth that several classes of amplifiers are known in the art, including Class A, B, AB, C and D. Class D amplifiers are also known as switching amplifiers. Further to this point is the fact that Kazuhiro shows an (operational) amplifier in his diagram (#7) which is broadly interpreted by the examiner to be a Class D amplifier.

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of Class D amplifiers are well known in the art of audio engineering.

Grant teaches a low power audio device (radio receiver and Class D amplifier).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kazuhiro, such that a Class D amplifier is used, to provide efficiency gains which are associated with a Class D amplifier (as opposed to using another more inefficient amplifier).

Art Unit: 2683

As per **claim 6**, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 wherein said circuitry for setting switching frequency includes a CPU (eg. microcontroller) operable to select said switching frequency in response to selection of a reception frequency band by user input (abstract and figure).

As per **claim 7**, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 wherein said circuitry for setting switching frequency detects said frequency of said signal received by said radio receiver by measuring a local oscillator frequency (abstract – teaches control voltage oscillator is controlled by a CPU which determines the receiving frequency of a receiver).

As per **claim 8**, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 wherein said switching frequency is selected such that at least one harmonic of said switching frequency lies outside a frequency band including said signal being received by said radio receiver (abstract – teaches avoiding reception interference caused by harmonic wave AND that the CPU controls the oscillating frequency such that the harmonic wave of the switching frequency does not become identical with the receiving frequency of the receive which is broadly interpreted by the examiner to read on "lies outside a frequency band including said signal being received").

As per claim 26, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein said circuitry for setting said switching frequency is operable to set said switching frequency to a selected one of a set of frequency steps differing in frequency by at least two percent.

Colotti teaches the chopping frequency of which is chosen to avoid interference with the sensitive equipment with which it is employed (C1, L54-60 and C2, L30 to C3, L32) which reads on the claim.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kazuhiro, such that the set of frequency steps differs in frequency by at least two percent, to provide means for reducing interference (from sensitive frequencies, harmonics, etc.).

Art Unit: 2683

<u>Claim 4</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuhiro/<u>Grant/Colotti</u> in view of Wilcox et al. US Patent 4,048,561 (hereafter Wilcox).

As per **claim 4**, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 wherein said circuitry for setting switching frequency of said switched mode circuitry comprises;

A crystal oscillator for generating switching frequency from a crystal (abstract)

But is silent on

A plurality of crystals of differing resonance frequencies

Control circuitry for selecting said selected one of said crystals

Wilcox teaches transmitter/receiver that uses multiple crystals for each oscillator which are alternatively selectable (C3, L44-68 to C4, L1-2 and C12, L63-68 to C13, L1-21 and figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kazuhiro, such that a plurality of crystals with differing frequencies are used/selected, to provide multiple fixed-frequency oscillators instead of one oscillator that must perform over a large range of frequencies.

<u>Claim 5</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuhiro/<u>Grant/Colotti</u> in view of Foord al. US Patent 4,868,539 (hereafter Foord).

As per **claim 5**, Kazuhiro teaches claim 1 **but is silent on** wherein said circuitry for setting switching frequency of said switched mode circuitry comprises;

A signal generator for generating a base frequency, A programmable divider for dividing said base frequency by a selected divisor to generate said switching frequency and Control circuitry for selecting said divisor.

Foord teaches teaches a system for an audio frequency signal that uses a crystal oscillator to generate a base frequency (C4, L23-35) and a programmable divider (C9, L45-50)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kazuhiro, such that the system generates a base frequency and uses a programmable divider and selects said divisor, to provide means for the system to obtain a divided base frequency to form a control frequency.

Art Unit: 2683

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 703-306-5426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

SMD / August 12, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6